

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND RHODE ISLAND DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

ELIZABETH M. TANNER, ESQ. IN HER CAPACITY AS CHAIR OF THE RHODE ISLAND COVID-19 ENFORCEMENT TASK FORCE

IN THE MATTER OF: Kennedy Fried Chicken Violations of Executive Orders and RI Department of Health Regulations

### NOTICE OF VIOLATION AND COMPLIANCE ORDER

Now comes the Chair ("Chair") of the Rhode Island COVID-19 Enforcement Task Force ("Task Force") and pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 23-1-20, Executive Orders 20-32, 20-40, and 20-67, and Rhode Island Department of Health ("RIDOH") regulation 216-RICR-50-15-7 (the "Safe Regulations"), determines that there are reasonable grounds to believe that Kennedy Fried Chicken ("Respondent") is in violation of Executive Orders and/or the Safe Regulations, and provides notice thereof.

### **REASONABLE GROUNDS FOR DETERMINATION OF VIOLATION**

- I. Respondent is a dining establishment located at 49 Olneyville Square in Providence.
- II. Respondent is a covered entity, as defined in the Safe Regulations. As such, the Respondent is required to comply with applicable Executive Orders and the Safe Regulations.
- III. On or about September 16, 2020, a Task Force inspector conducted a Healthy Environment inspection of the Respondent. The inspector performed a checklist inspection based on the Safe

Regulations and determined that Respondent scored a 4/11 for overall requirements. During the inspection, the inspector spoke to owner Amir Wahab ("Owner") and detailed the deficiencies found during the inspection. The inspector also provided the Owner with information and resources to help Respondent achieve full compliance. This included a recommendation to the Respondent on how to access www.ReopeningRI.com and the relevant websites for the Executive Orders, rules, regulations, and guidance. The Respondent was also advised that an inspector would conduct a reinspection in the near future.

- IV. On or about August 22, 2020, a different Task Force inspector conducted a reinspection of the Respondent. The inspector met with the Owner and performed a checklist inspection based on the Safe Regulations. The inspector determined that the Respondent scored a 5/11 for overall requirements. The Owner stated that he was aware of what he was supposed to do, but just hadn't gotten around to it yet.
- V. Therefore, as a result of the Respondent's continued failure to comply with applicable Executive Orders and the Safe Regulations, and in the absence of any other information to rebut the allegations above, RIDOH has drawn the conclusion that the above allegations are true, and the Respondent is not in compliance with applicable Executive Orders and/or the Safe Regulations.

#### ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

The following alleged violations stem from the September 22, 2020 reinspection.

I. Respondent is in violation of Section 7.4.1(A)(3) of the Safe Regulations, which requires all individuals in an establishment to wear cloth face coverings unless physical distancing or an exception applies and requires establishments to deny entry to any employee who is not otherwise exempt from the requirement or who refuses to wear a cloth face covering when required. Upon entry, the inspector observed that neither of the two (2) employees working was wearing a cloth face covering. One employee did put a cloth face covering on when she saw the

inspector and the second was told to do so as well. Neither employee claimed an exception, nor was either employee able to continuously maintain physical distancing.

- II. Respondent is in violation of Section 7.4.1(A)(1) of the Safe Regulations, which requires each covered entity to develop, maintain, and ensure compliance with a written plan for the safe operation of the establishment. Upon request, Respondent could not produce a written plan.
- III. Respondent is in violation of Section 7.4.1(A)(8) of the Safe Regulations, which requires all covered entities to maintain an employee work log that identifies the full name, phone number, and the date and time of all shifts worked by each employee. This work log must be maintained for at least thirty (30) days. Upon request, Respondent could not produce an employee work log.
- IV. Respondent is in violation of Sections 7.4.1(A)(6) & (7) of the Safe Regulations, which require each covered entity to ensure the performance of environmental cleaning of its establishments once per day and to maintain records documenting such environmental cleaning. Upon request, the Respondent could not produce the required records.
- V. Respondent is in violation of Section 7.4.1(A)(2) of the Safe Regulations, which requires each covered entity to implement and ensure compliance with screening of all individuals entering its establishment(s) at any time for any reason. Upon inquiry, the Respondent admitted that it did not conduct entry screening. In addition, there were no posters visible at or near the entrance concerning entry screening.
- VI. Respondent is in violation of Section 7.4.1(A)(4) of the Safe Regulations, which requires each covered entity to ensure the placement of posters or signs at entry to its establishments educating any individual at the establishment concerning entry screening, required physical distancing, use of cloth face coverings, and other subjects as provided in guidance issued by RIDOH. The inspector observed that the only required information posted at the entry to the establishment concerned the requirement to wear a cloth face covering. Missing was information concerning entry

screening and required physical distancing. Thus, the inspector concluded that the Respondent failed to ensure the placement of required posters. (See "Exhibit A")

#### ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED, that on or before the tenth (10<sup>th</sup>) day from service of this Notice of Violation and Compliance Order, Respondent shall remedy the above-cited violations and come into full compliance with applicable Executive Orders and Regulations by fulfilling the conditions as set forth below:

- I. Respondent shall require all employees in its establishment to wear cloth face coverings unless physical distancing can be continuously maintained, or an exception applies. In accordance with Section 7.4.1(3)(a) of the Safe Regulations, Respondent shall deny access to its establishment to any employee who refuses to wear a cloth face covering when required by the Safe Regulations unless an exception applies.
- II. Respondent shall develop, maintain, and ensure compliance with a written plan for the safe operation of the establishment.
- III. Respondent shall maintain an employee work log that identifies the full name, phone number, and the date and time of all shifts worked by each employee. This work log must be maintained for at least thirty (30) days.
- IV. Respondent shall ensure the performance of environmental cleaning of its establishment once per day and maintain records documenting such environmental cleaning.
- V. Respondent shall implement and ensure compliance with screening of all individuals entering its establishment(s) at any time for any reason.
- VI. Respondent shall ensure the placement of posters or signs at entry to its establishment educating any individual at the establishment concerning entry screening, required physical distancing, use of cloth face coverings, and other subjects as provided in guidance issued by RIDOH.

Failure to comply with this ORDER may result in the imposition of civil fines, the issuance of an immediate compliance order, and/or any other action authorized by regulation, the Act, or other

applicable law.

Entered this 23rd day of September 2020.

ant M. Tannen

Elizabeth M. Tanner, Esq. Chair Rhode Island COVID-19 Enforcement Task Force RI Department of Health Cannon Building, Rm. 401 Three Capitol Hill Providence, RI 02908

## A WRITTEN REQUEST FOR A HEARING MAY BE FILED IN WRITING WITHIN

## TEN DAYS AFTER THIS NOTICE

# EXHIBIT A

